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ABSTRACT
In the past decade, ICT and Development has become a notable field, in terms of both relevance and size. It is characterized by a wide diversity of research studies from a range of disciplines, a plethora of ICT technological development projects in many countries, and different (also conflicting) methodological approaches regarding theory as well as practice. This typifies a young and vibrant field. Despite all these commendable research activities, the field still lacks a broader coherent and shared underlying theoretical framework and methodology that is the signature of mature scientific fields. This paper investigates this fundamental issue. First, we propose that complexity theory offers a fruitful conceptualization and framework to understand how ICTs work in a development context, and we detail this based on available general literature as well as our own ICT4D research in Africa. Second, we explore practical implications of this approach, leading to a different participatory approach labelled ICT4D 3.0.

Categories and Subject Descriptors
1 [Method, Meta Review, Theory]: Sociotechnical Systems, Information Science; 2 [Qualitative Research Methods]: Participatory, Action Research—Interdisciplinarity, Practice

General Terms
Theory
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1. INTRODUCTION
It is a general assumption that ICTs are beneficial for development. This is a (pretty) easy assumption that — however — should in our view not be taken for granted. Even if true, it underspecifies how ICTs are to be developed and employed in development contexts and for whose interests.

There has been a strong trend (that is still continuing) that ICT4D is basically a simple replication of so-called ‘western’ technology and knowledge in a development context. If so, objectives and methodologies are exogenously defined, and there is effectively no dialogue where real end-users and supposed beneficiaries can express their own priorities. On the other hand, there is a growing awareness amongst policy makers, practitioners and researchers that ICT4D should move beyond the traditional technology-centric thinking towards more participation [1, 2, 3, 4]. Many reported failures in ICT4D projects, the lack of sustainability and the mismatch between implemented technologies and their utility, have unleashed a call for contextualization of efforts, for more participation and for more rigorous monitoring and evaluation e.g. [2, 1, 5, 6].

Often, ICT4D is just framed as a tool to increase Gross Domestic Product (GDP) and thus to support private sector involvement in line with contemporary politics, targeting economic growth as the solution to global poverty.

Such a (neoliberal) political attitude to ICT4D is however also heavily criticized for being first and foremost in the self-interest of the rich and privileged, enabling them to retain positions of economic, social and political power, instead of really focusing on poor and marginalized communities [2]. Here a strong bias is present in a cultural-specific view of economics, namely neoliberal capitalism [3], for producing and favouring specific discourses, seemingly free of contradictions such as: offering new markets for ICT vendors while helping the poor [7], despite contradictory realities of development [8] and its linear conceptualization of impacts [9].

At the implementation and practitioner level, ICT4D actions still commonly apply simple one-way instrumental methods for planning, roll-out, scaling up and impact evaluation [10, 1].

---
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1Cf. the WSIS 2005 agenda: http://www.itu.int/wsis/docs2/tunis/off/brev1.pdf
In ICT4D, the linear conceptualization of the implementation process, assuming that results are predictable, and that outcomes can be easily measured against externally predefined indicators, leads to centrally controlled replications of mainstream western technologies, without the notion of local adaptation or true innovation.

We note, however, that the pitfalls of such a linear approach should already be well-known from ICT practices in the western world: the linear waterfall model was introduced in the 1960s to centrally plan and control large-scale software development projects [15]. Soon, in contrast, the waterfall model was held responsible for high rates of failure in large-scale ICT projects, due to lack of user involvement and input, to incomplete and changing requirements and to incomplete or changing specifications [16, 17]. As a response, new methods were introduced [18, 19, 20, 21], including cyclic, prototyping, adaptive and iterative methodologies, referred to now as agile development methods [22, 23], user-centric spaces of innovation such as living labs [24, 25] and self-organizing software development teams such as Scrum [26]. Adaptation to context and end-user involvement are central to these methods, which are currently widely used in Western countries [27, 28, 29, 30, 31]. Given these historical technological developments and hard-won lessons learned, one has to wonder what they may tell us about ICT4D.

In this paper we propose an alternative approach, in which ICT4D is theoretically seen as a complex adaptive system (CAS). The ‘beneficiaries’ (BTW, a misnomer in its own right) are self-organized agents, interacting locally to solve real-world problems in their own specific context. The action is centred in a space of dialogue, where new knowledge is constructed, using iterative, collaborative and adaptive methods. This approach, labelled ICT4D 3.0, has important, theoretical as well as practical, implications for problem definition, implementation, scaling-up and evaluation of ICTD outcomes.

1.1 An actionable alternative approach

In this paper we argue that technological innovation - and therefore ICT4D - is an open-ended process, which cannot be centrally controlled. To avoid a mismatch between the action and its actual meaning, ICT4D is conceptualized as a complex adaptive system, in which the beneficiaries are self-organized agents (or actors), cooperating to solve a real-world problem in their specific context. The centre of the action is a space of dialogue, where requirements are elicited and new knowledge is created. Meaningful, adaptive artefacts are socially and technically constructed, using iterative, collaborative methods. The power of the social networks and the mechanisms of diffusion are leveraged, allowing end-users and beneficiaries to select the best solutions, re-invent, adapt and scale up to serve local needs.

Our approach is informed by extensive field research in rural areas of Burkina Faso and Mali, in the period 2009 - 2014, and was co-developed with local domain experts - small-holder farmers from small rural communities, local radio stations, local agriculture experts. The approach has implications, not only for the problem definition and the action, but also for strategies of scaling and impact evaluation.

In this paper we propose complexity theory as a framework to understand how ICTs can work in a development context. Section 2 introduces complex adaptive systems and their properties as a theoretical framework. We detail this based on existing literature of complex adaptive systems in a development context and examples from our own ICT4D research in Africa. In section 3 we give the practical implication of our approach for the problem definition, implementation and scaling. In the conclusions section we summarize the contributions of the ICT4D 3.0 approach for research, policy and action.

2. COMPLEXITY SCIENCE

Systems such as ant colonies, human brains, cities, developing embryos, rural communities, immune systems, living organisms, and many other systems in nature, all have in common that they can change and reorganize themselves to adapt to problems posed by their surroundings [32]. This capacity to adapt and even anticipate to change, makes these systems robust and resilient to changing conditions in their environment [32, 33, 34, 35]. Systems like these evolve over time, in response to events and changes in their surroundings, and follow a unique, evolutionary path without a final goal [36]. This makes them a moving target, continuously evolving, in search of sub-optimal conditions, but never reaching an equilibrium state [32]. These systems, which are of great interest to mankind, share certain characteristics, for which they are classified as complex adaptive systems [32].

To understand this class of systems which, according to complexity scientist John H. Holland exhibit complexities that block broadly based attempts at comprehension [32], complexity science emerged in the 1980s and 1990s as a broad interdisciplinary scientific endeavour. Complexity science was soon applied in many different domains and disciplines such as biology, chemistry, computer science, economics, physics, and social sciences [37]. In contrast to deterministic systems such as construction models of bridges, airplane design, and artefacts in e.g. civil engineering, which can be calculated
Complex adaptive systems are by many referred to as non-linear systems, but since non-linearity is ubiquitous and these systems are only a subset of the vast class of non-linear dynamical systems, as mathematician Stanislaw Ulam observed, “to speak of non-linear science is like calling zoology the study of non-elephant animals”[38]. According to anthropologist Stephen Lansing “the biggest surprise in complexity science was that complexity lurks within extremely simple systems, and that complex global patterns with new properties can emerge from local interactions”[38].

Complexity science is applied in different domains and disciplines, therefore different nomenclatures are used and terms may have different meanings for different communities, as complexity scientist Murray Gell-Mann remarked “a scientist would rather use someone else’s toothbrush than another scientist ’s terminology”[36]. According to Simon Levin it is easy to find books that discuss, with varying degrees of specificity, ecosystems, the biosphere, economies, organisms, or brains as complex adaptive systems, however, it is much harder to find a formal definition, as if investigators fear that by defining a complex adaptive system, they will somehow limit a concept that is meant to apply to everything [33]. Still, as we will describe in the following paragraphs, despite the lack of formal definitions in complexity theory, a common kernel and a number of key-characteristics can be extracted from these systems [33, 32].

2.1 Complex adaptive systems

Complex adaptive systems consist of large numbers of heterogeneous components - also referred to as agents - who interact with each other and with their environment [37, 38] [39]. Agents operate and interact in distributed ways according to their own interaction rules - also referred to as internal models or schemata [36, 32]. The agents have certain properties or attributes for which they can be grouped together, or categorized.

The interactions between the agents occur in the absence of a central controlling mechanism [37, 39]. Emerging patterns, which are typical for complex adaptive systems, result from aggregated behaviour of agents, amplified or dampened by feedback loops [33, 39]. Heterogeneity or diversity of agents is mentioned as one of the key characteristics by several complexity scholars, as well as aggregated behaviour, non-linearity and flows of information. [40, 33, 34].

As observed in the nature around us, where small systems such as organisms can be part of local ecosystems, which in turn can be part of larger ecosystems, complex adaptive systems exist at various scales, and can be embedded in one another. In human networks this can be observed, e.g. as small groups or social networks aggregate into larger groups or organizations [39, 41, 42].

Numerical computer simulations can be used to study emerging complexity from agents, behaving and interacting based on simple properties and internal rules. These are simplified models, compared to systems in nature, but clearly they illustrate how simple behaviour can lead to complexity when the number of agents increase, and how small, externally induced fluctuations can have large impact on the system [37]. Numerical models are used to study emerging properties, evolution of complexity and to observe different outputs, based on variable input data. These numerical models are mainly “black box” experiments, because these computer programs, as John Holland wrote ‘can solve complex problems, which even their creators cannot understand’ [43]. For better understanding and thought experiments conceptual models can be used, to visualize concepts, properties, relations, dynamic interactions and emerging patterns in complex adaptive systems [37].

2.2 Self-organizing systems

Spontaneous self-organization can occur under certain conditions in complex adaptive systems, as observed in physical, chemical and biological systems, and in social systems such as knowledge networks and other forms of human organization [39]. For self-organization to occur, a system must be open and receptive to external influences and random fluctuations; meanwhile, different constituent agents must be able to mutually influence each other, or perform some sort of self-referencing, causing recursive processes and feedback loops [42]. These conditions, which exist in self-organized systems, are also observed in diffusion networks [44] and knowledge networks [39].

2.3 Diffusion networks

Diffusion networks bear resemblance to complex adaptive systems, and consist of agents who interact in distributed ways [45]. Diffusion is defined as a process in social networks, in which a new idea or innovation is communicated through certain channels over time, among the members of a social system [45, 44]. In diffusion networks the rate of adoption of an innovation varies depending on various properties of the system: the attributes of the innovation, such as its relative advantage to the adopter, and its compatibility to values and needs [44]; the properties of the network, i.e. the agents (nodes) and their communication channels (edges) [44, 39]. Communication between social agents who share various traits (e.g. gender, age, profession, language) is effective in the decision to adopt or reject an innovation. Hence, strong network links are effective for the diffusion of an innovation [44, 39]. However, weak links in a network, i.e. communication between dissimilar agents can also be effective, for the spread of new information in a network [46, 47]. Therefore, the degree of proximy amongst interacting agents in the system i.e. the level of homophily or heterophily influences adoption rate of innovations [44].

Diffusion of an innovation travels through a social network over time, until it eventually reaches a critical mass of adopters, after which diffusion will propagate in a self-sustaining way [44], as a self-organizing, emerging pattern. The diffusion rate can be accelerated by the contagion effect, when individuals base their choice on what they expect others will do. An amplified effect of contagion occurs in case the innovation is an interactive communication technology e.g. a networked computer, a fax, a (mobile) phone, email, or social network applications such as e.g. Facebook, LinkedIn or Whatsapp. When the number of interactive communication agents in a social system is still below a critical number, advantage
for the individual user is limited, as there are only few people, to communicate with. The benefits increase with each additional adoption, for future adopters, as well as for earlier adopters. This causes reciprocal interdependence [44], as a feedback loop, amplifying the effect progressively, especially after a critical mass is reached. The critical mass may also represent a social threshold, beyond which the norms of the social system will encourage individual members to adopt the innovation [48, 44]. The concept of critical mass also shows up in other (complex adaptive) systems such as epidemiology, fashion, survival, and extinction of species, language systems and political movements [49].

2.4 Knowledge networks
Knowledge networks are communication networks where interacting agents exchange knowledge. Knowledge networks exist at various scales, from small organizations with only a few agents, to global size. The World Wide Web can be conceptualized as the largest knowledge network in human history. Agents in a knowledge network may be humans or aggregates, such as groups and organizations, and may also include non-human agents such as computers, software, robots, mobile communication devices, ideas, concepts etc. [39, 42]. We define knowledge as the body of data and information that people bring to bear to practical use in action, in order to carry out tasks and create new information [50]. Knowledge can only be understood, according to Lespérance, within a network of other knowledge concepts [51]. Knowledge in a network can be diffused, as to increase the level of knowledge among all agents. Alternatively, collective knowledge can remain in certain nodes, leaving agents possess relatively unique, non-redundant knowledge. The latter configuration will enable a network to accomplish work collectively, through collaboration and division of tasks [39]. An important characteristic of knowledge networks is their fluidity, in terms of both agents and linkages: people join or leave a knowledge network on the basis of tasks to be accomplished, and their levels of interests, resources, and commitments [39]. Knowledge networks can be conceptualized as complex systems, and communication and knowledge networks are likely to self-organize [39, 42].

2.5 Complexity and ICT4D
In the sections above we have briefly introduced complex adaptive systems. In the following sections we explain how complexity theory offers a new conceptualization of ICT for development as a networked, open-ended, adaptive, socio-technical system – not centrally controlled – in which large-scale patterns can emerge as a consequence of many distributed interactions, and innovations emerge over time, as a consequence of continuous knowledge sharing, reinvention, and selection. It is their dynamic nature, and adaptability to change, which offers opportunities to influence the systems through adapted management [32]. In the next paragraphs we will show how the diffusion of mobile telephony has evolved as a large-scale complex adaptive system.

2.5.1 The mobile revolution in Africa
To present date, the fastest, and probably one of the most successful technological innovations that swept the African continent has been mobile telephony. In Africa, 69 out of 100 people have a cellular subscription in 2014 where this was 4 out of 100 inhabitants in 2002. The adoption rate of mobile telephony in Africa, as shown in Figure 1, displays an incomplete S-curve, in line with Everett Rogers’ famous diffusion of innovation model [44]. The current adoption rate, and that of the past two years, show that diffusion is still ongoing. Rogers model predicts that the adoption rate will slow down in a few years, when adoption will approximate its maximum.

Interestingly, mobile telephony was not introduced as an ICT4D tool. In the early 2000s, the leading policy papers such as UNDP Human Development Report 2001 and World Summit for the Information Society (See e.g. WSIS 2004) etc.) marginally mentioned mobile telephony as a tool for development, and focussed on computers and the internet as the envisaged technology for development, since a similar technological evolution was expected as in Europe and the US. Only in the mid-2000s, mobile telephony was observed as a large-scale phenomenon, and its impact became visible, it drew attention of researchers, policy makers and donor organizations e.g. [52, 53, 54, 55, 56]. It supported the existing opinion that ICT4D should be left to free markets and the private sector.

Which attributes of mobile telephony as socio-technical innovation [44] explain its wide adoption in developing countries? Firstly, there is the relative advantage of mobile telephony, as perceived by adopters, communication over distance – and costs saving – especially for those who were previously deprived from any electronic communication device. Secondly, there is compatibility with existing oral traditions and communication habits, – not text but voice-based; its affordability, especially the prepaid cards, suitable for people with no steady income [53]. Thirdly, its simplicity to use and learn. Fourthly, its triability [44], or the degree to which

---

**Figure 1: Diffusion rate of mobile telephony in Africa, Source: International Telecommunication Union**

---

3e.g. http://www.itu.int/wsis/docs2/regional/outcome-accra.pdf
it can be experimented before acquiring, ensured through community phones, public phone boxes and phone sharing in families, a practice which is widely reported for developing regions [53]. Finally, its usefulness is observable, and contagious, as people carry the phone along, often as a status object [53]. Mobile phones were not designed specifically for the development context, but appeared very appropriate, in all their simplicity and affordability.

Several effects helped to accelerate the diffusion of mobiles. Mobiles are interactive communication technologies, that become valuable for adopters, when numbers start to increase. Additionally, an innovation which improves communication, while at the same time benefiting from communication for its diffusion, creates a self-amplifying feedback loop [44, 39, 42].

Various stories and studies report new or enhanced practices and emerging trade through mobile telephony: e.g. fishing villages in India [54], crop markets in Uganda [55], grain markets in Niger [52] have demonstrated the abilities of the mobile platform to improve livelihoods of people in underprivileged communities. In her book “Mobile Phones, the New Talking Drums of Everyday Africa” [53] Mirjam de Bruin et al. illustrate with personal stories, how technology is (re-)shaping social realities in African societies and society and technology are interdependent and are evolving in a dialectic process of cultural and social appropriation [53].

Resuming, mobile telephony became a new communication channel for emerging economic, social and knowledge networks, connecting both existing and emerging new social structures. Moreover, mobile telephone, – despite, or maybe because of its limited functionality – became the first large-scale communication network connecting developing regions and people locally and globally, with the potential to enable new forms of collaborations and networking. This is how Africans and their societies are shaping and reinventing the technologies of communication, in a socio-technical, adaptive, and open-ended process.

2.5.2 Mobile money
The second large technological innovation in Africa was mobile money. As an African innovation, mobile money transfer was introduced in Kenya in 2007 by the local mobile operator Safaricom [57]. The system, named M-Pesa – pesa is the Swahili word for money – registered 1.1 million users in the first eight months after its inception, while an amount of US$ 87 million was transferred over the service [57]. By September 2009, 8.5 million Kenyans had registered to use the service [57]. In 2013 this was 17 million, two-thirds of Kenya’s adult population 4. M-pesa allows to deposit money on a cell phone, and to transfer it to other users of the service. It allows to cash it back to currency from a network of agents, airtime resellers and retail outlets acting as banking agents. Users are charged a small fee for each transfer. M-Pesa has become a banking service for millions of people in Africa who never used bank account before. Soon after the success of M-Pesa in Kenya, new mobile payment systems popped up in many other countries in African countries, of-

4See http://www.economist.com/blogs/economist-explains/2013/05/economist-explains-18

tered by other mobile operators such as Orange, Vodafone, Airtel, MTN.

The fast diffusion of mobile payment systems, followed the mobile revolution, and shows similar properties in line with the classical diffusion model [44]. The advantages as perceived by its users were: (i) the ability to store and transfer money at distance – e.g. remittances [58], (ii) the perceived compatibility with existing values and payment habits, (iii) its simplicity to learn and operate, its triability and observability using interpersonal communication channels [44].

As the case with mobile telephony, the innovation shows emerging patterns in society. According to Mbiti and Weil ‘only a decade ago, family members in different parts of Kenya had a very limited scope of communicating with relatives from distant parts of the country, and faced difficulties in sending and receiving remittances. Now, in many cases, appeals for assistance and the availability of resources can be communicated, and money can be transferred almost instantaneously’. Plyler et al. argue that M-Pesa has enabled small businesses to expand and grow and increased the circulation of money in small communities [58], as emerging patterns, embedded in existing or new, emerging patterns of self-organization.

The diffusion of mobile payment could only have occurred after the mobile revolution, as it needs a critical mass of mobile users to become useful as a true payment service. Mobile telephony, mobile payment systems and other new mobile services can be seen as a cluster of innovations [44]. The diffusion of M-pesa may have an accelerating effect on mobile telephony diffusion, as more people will dislike to share their phone including their mobile account (i.e. their savings) with others, in the way the mobile phone is often shared in communities and large families.

Apart from its success on the demand-side, diffusion of mobile telephony and mobile payment systems in low-income markets represent an enormous grow market at the supply side [59]. For the mobile operators the prepaid mobile offers reduced financial risks and the burden of sales and billing tasks, leaving distribution to the local entrepreneurs and street vendors. The roll-out of infrastructure was phased, allowing the supplier to scale investments in network infrastructure according to the growing demand.

2.5.3 Expanding markets
From the business perspective mobile telephony was the tool that increased economic activity and greatly benefited the private sector. The business success of mobile telephony supported the neoliberal-capitalist discourse of exploiting of the fortune at the bottom of the pyramid, as a new market segment for western multinational firms [56]. The market opportunities in low-income regions were explained by neoliberal development economists [60] referring to Adam Smith’s Invisible Hand, described in his book “The Wealth of Nations”[61], – in fact the earliest (1776) discussion of complex adaptive systems in a social context [37] – and referred to by free-market thinkers [62] as an example of win-win situation of benefits for the private sector, while helping the poor e.g. [7]. Notwithstanding the benefits of mobile in developing regions, and the need for emerging local eco-systems to
In the previous two examples of successful diffusion of technological innovations in a development context it becomes clear from the spread of these innovations that (i) the need for improved communication existed previous to the innovation (ii) the innovation has improved and increased communication leading to new or improved networks and information transfer. This is promising for further improvements in communication and networking. The properties of these innovations in terms of advantage, compatibility etc. teach us how to design new technologies; the theory of diffusion, knowledge networks and self-organization teach us that the existing social structures are key to the adoption of an innovation. In the next section we present an example from a self-organized socio-ecological system, that might benefit from improved communication and technological innovation.

### 2.6 Complexity in rural development

Sendzimir et al. [63] studied a case of rural communities in the Maradi and Zinder regions in Niger, who were vulnerable to food shortages, but managed to apply agro-forestry systems, also known as regreening to improve food security. This extensive study of self-organized systems in Niger shows how development actions can be braided into local systems, contributing to positive feedback loops and amplifying effects.

Farmers, herders, NGOs, and governmental institutions interacted with each other in distributed ways, over a period of 25 years. Sendzimir et al. [63] studied the resilience of the social-ecological system and showed that the large-scale regreening was a multi-actor system, without central coordination, where distributed actions by development organizations and local communities caused an emerging pattern of large-scale regreening. [63]. A similar reforestation trend is described for the Central Plateau in Burkina Faso, where farmers-innovators introduced simple manual soil and water conservation techniques. These innovations were soon appropriated and enhanced by others, applied in neighbouring communities, and picked up and diffused by local NGOs, causing a considerable agro-environmental transformation in the region. [64, 65]. An effect of all localized interactions is more resilience of the socio-ecological system to climatological change and food shortages [63, 64]. The case of regreening can be conceptualized as a complex adaptive system, where several groups of self-organized agents interacted autonomously at different levels, periods and scales and initiated a reforestation trend, as a large-scale emerging pattern.

As shown in the study of Sendzimir et al. [63] and other studies [66, 34, 14], the dynamic nature of complex adaptive systems asks for an adaptive approach, in contrast to traditional actions in the development realm. A real-world problem solving attitude can therefore be applied – common from the engineering sciences – using adaptive project management, to build technologies for rural communities in the Sahel, and support local self-organized actions.

**3. PRACTICAL IMPLICATIONS FOR ICT4D**

In this section we describe an example of a research project that was set up to help improve communication for regreening, in a context as described in the previous paragraph.

### 3.1 An open-ended ICT4D research project

Several field trips were organized from 2009 to 2012 to the Central Plateau of Burkina Faso and the regions around Ségou, Tominian, Bankass, Bandiagara in Mali, and regions near Tamale and Bolgatanga, in northern Ghana, involving a heterogeneous, multidisciplinary team.

The group consisted of (i) rural development researchers who supported the local regreening activities since the 1980s [64], (ii) local NGO staff experienced in rural development (iii) ICT-professionals, web-developers, requirement engineers, information analysts, (iv) communication and media specialists (v) local community radio journalists, (vi) small farmers and herders from the region.

The common goal was to improve information and knowledge sharing in these regions, to facilitate dissemination of regreening practices, and improve sales of local agricultural products. Any technological solution should support the priorities of the local communities, in a way improving resilience to food shortages through regreening and improved communication.

The conditions for communication were challenging: there was no internet in these regions; many farmers were not able to read and write, and the languages spoken were Moré, Bambara and Bonnu. The infrastructure available for information and communication amongst the rural population was local community radio and simple speech-based mobile phone. New technological solution should make use of local resources. There was no budget to implement expensive infrastructures.

It was clear that mainstream technologies like PCs, internet-based or sms-based services would not fit the local context, so new technologies had to be developed, adapted to the rural conditions. To ensure useful solutions, development of new technologies should be done in collaboration with the prospective end-users.

The end-users did not have a technical background. Similarly, the ICT professionals and web-developers were unfamiliar with the local context and conditions. The input from end-users as local domain experts was indispensable for a common understanding, to define the problems, and elicit requirements.

The local farmers and representatives from the local communities were participants in the team. They were invited to join the team through personal contacts, and common acquaintances. The farmers did not receive payments to participate in the research, and participated through interest in the expected outcomes. The local participants assisted in the definition of the problems and the design, by telling user stories, attending demonstrations, participating in test sessions and discussing possible solutions. They brought in their knowledge about the local languages and other local topics. They helped to verify the functionalities and validate
Interactions in our study in West-Africa were pro-actively organized, through a space of dialogue, where agents from the different backgrounds meet. To do this systematically, we borrowed elements from different theoretical frameworks, as shown in the following paragraphs.

**Ba, the workshop as field of meaning creation.** We borrowed the concept of *ba*, from a Japanese epistemological tradition, as the space where different agent groups meet and work together [67]. *Ba* is a conceptual field of meaning creation, where innovative artefacts and their uses are constructed and social learning occurs through interaction, observation, dialogue, and internalization [68]. The dialogue is the interactive process where new meaning is articulated and made available for individual and collaborative action [68].

In our study the space of dialogue or field of meaning creation is operational through local workshops. To adapt the Japanese concept of *ba* to the African context, we introduced the Bambara concept *Kuma Blon* which means House of the Spoken Word.

To put *ba* or *Kuma Blon* in practice, the team organized thirty-two workshops in the period between 2009 and 2014, in south-east Mali, Burkina Faso, and northern Ghana. Apart from the workshops, field visits were made to farmers in small rural communities, assisted by local NGOs.

Building a new community takes time, especially with members from various cultural backgrounds, speaking different languages. The encounters in the period 2009-2014, were important for team building amongst European and African ICT developers, researchers, agriculture experts and farmers, farmer-innovators and community radio journalists, all engaged in the action. 6

**Research methods.** The project was set up as open-ended, real-world problem solving, interdisciplinary research. We applied elements from action research, living labs and Agile software development, because these methods allow researchers to participate in the action.

Action research is known for its purpose to change or influence a real life problem, in which researchers actively participate and interact - not as mere observers - in the action [69]. Action research is useful for its reflective and flexible research design, aimed at true collaboration, and oriented at experimentation and learning in a real life setting [70, 69].

Living labs find their origin in technology and engineering, as real-life experimentation and validation environments for technological innovation [24, 25, 71]. Living lab methods

---

5 The small villages we visited and held focus group discussion were Konobougou, Mali, Ranawa in Burkina Faso, Yameriga and Tongo-Beo in Northern Ghana

6 See Deliverable D1.1 Use case and Requirements analysis at http://w4ra.org/publications/voices/
Brainstorm with local end-users. After the introduction by the local NGO’s chair woman and other demonstrations by web developers and ICT4D-experts, a vibrant discussion starts with the farmers and radio journalists. This is translated semi-simultaneously from English to French to Bambara and vice-versa. From the discussions we learn that there is enthusiasm amongst farmers and radio people for innovative voice services which can be accessible through mobile phone. Unlike the national farmer umbrella organizations in Bamako, who had been very critical about farmer engagement in the process of problem definition, these participants (farmers and radio people) can imagine useful application of voice services. They mention voice services integrated with radio, voice directory services, that can identify experts on certain subject (e.g. agriculture), voice messaging services, question & answer services, updated price information about agriculture market products etc. In time of vaccination of the herds, (an activity which engages many farmers and herders), vaccination events can be easily organized using voice services, says herder Idrissu Sangue. Voice-based information about health (e.g. for pregnant women, how to treat malaria etc.) is also useful. One participant mentions what could be named a Voice Forum, a voice-based system where people can leave messages and react on each other’s messages, on a certain topic, within a group or community.

The ideas from the Kuma Blon brainstorm sessions, were noted down as the first stage of use case and requirement analysis, as described in the following sections.

Living lab example. Living Labs are user-centric co-creation methods, were ideas for new technological solutions are generated by developers and users, and solutions are tested in real live, by users in heir own context.

The following fragment describes the user involvement in the design and deployment of a voice-based communication system. The idea for this system was generated during a Kuma Blon workshop in 2011 by staff from local NGO Sahel Eco. A prototype was built after this idea and tested in a real live environment in Mali, by prospective end-users: two NGO-staff members and five farmers from a small rural community near Tominian. The prototype was improved according to their feedback. The system is still in use, at the time of writing, November 2014.

The original situation was sketched by NGO Sahel Eco staff member Amadou Tangara, who frequently organized meetings for farmers about regreening. Tangara had difficulty in inviting farmers, because they do not use email. Moreover, the farmers speak different languages: some French, others
In the envisaged situation, a registered number of farmers receive an automated spoken voice message on the phone, informing time and place of an event or meeting. Farmers are addressed in their own language. They can phone back and retrieve the voice message again at a later time. The message is (optionally) issued in several languages.

A first prototype of this system was built in July 2012. During a field trip in November 2012 staff member Amadou Tangara from Sahel Eco tested the system in real live, how to record a voice message in three languages, using a web interface; how to enter a list of invitees and their phone numbers; how to issue a voice message through the web interface. The invitees on the list receive a phone call with the message.

The two prototype interfaces, mobile phone and web, were tested in a real life setting in Mali. First in Ségou by amadou Tangara, next in San, by colleague NGO-staff member Drissa Gana and next day with five farmers in Tominian. The farmers tested the voice-based mobile interface, and evaluated how to use the DTMF on their mobiles, (DTMF is e.g. press 1 for yes, 2 for no etc.). They tested to see if they could understand and navigate the voice-menu, if they could hear and understand a voice message which is pre-recorded. They tested the quality of the audio, and gave feedback on several aspects of usability. All feedback was used to fine-tune the system for the second cycle of iterative development.

The above example shows how an idea for a new technology is co-developed through interaction and brainstorm by users and developers. The idea for a voice-based event-organizing system was generated collectively and selected as use case. It was modelled using formal modelling languages, designed and built as a voice-based technology in support of rural communities in Mali. The ICT team observed and interacted with the users and discovered the specific functional and non-functional requirements, necessary to improve and make the system useful for this context.

During the first sessions, the users had difficulty in selecting their preferred language from the voice-menu, by pressing on a certain key (press 1 for French etc.). They also found difficulties in navigating a voice menu with more than three options to choose from. The living lab approach, applied here in a Kuma Blon-type of interaction, appeared to be appropriate method to build solutions for this context.

Scrum. Scrum is also one of the interactive Agile methods [22]. Scrum consists of self-organized teams, who discuss on a regular basis, encouraging each other to be creative, share information and work iteratively towards the best solution.

Organizing Scrum at distance. ICT systems are usually not developed by a team. Therefore a good communication mechanism is needed. We applied scrum to discuss planning, design and systems according to progressive insights [26]. The members of the team remained the same throughout the project period, both at the African and the European sides. Stability in the team as well as frequent face-to-face meetings ensured optimal design. The total scrum team consisted of people with different backgrounds, either in ICT, or in local context. The language gap with the real end-users in Mali and Burkina Faso was bridged by translators who spoke French and local African languages Moré, Bomu, Bambara. During the periods in between field visits, the team in Amsterdam, Toulouse, London, Bamako and Mopti (Mali) held two-weekly skype meetings. To facilitate communication about architectural design, a modelling language with graphical syntax was used: Unified Modelling Language (UML2.0). Also informal models, cartoons, scenarios and short films were used to communicate. A semiformal model of the shea butter and honey service is given in Figure 4.

Requirements analysis. In software development, a requirement is defined, according to Bourque et al. [73] as a property is exhibited to solve some real world problem. Requirements analysis is an early stage in building an understanding of the problem to be solved. It is a social activity and it needs a good relationship between the developers and the users see also [31]. Requirements are social constructs, created in the dialogue between requirement engineers and users. Requirements engineers mediate between the domain of the software users (and other stakeholders) and the technical world of the software engineer. A number of techniques were used in our requirements elicitation, the principal ones being:

Interviews, Eliciting requirements in the most traditional way; Observation, Immersing yourself in the environment and observing how users interact with their software and with each other; Facilitated meetings, a group of people can bring more insight into their software requirements than an individual. Group can brainstorm and refine ideas, which may be difficult to bring to the surface using interviews; Scenarios Providing context to the elicitation of user requirements. They allow the software engineer to provide a framework for questions about user tasks by permitting what if and how is this done questions to be asked; Conceptual modelling, Modelling of a real-world problem is key to software requirements. This can be done using formal models or semi-formal sketches; Prototypes, Providing users with a context within which they can better understand what information they need to provide. There is a wide range of prototyping techniques, from paper mock-ups of screen designs to beta-test versions of software products, and a strong overlap of their use for requirements elicitation and the use of prototypes for requirements validation.

Iterative, cyclic development. In Agile development methods, software is constructed iteratively throughout the design and implementation phases [16]. Iterations are necessary to discard errors and refine and improve the solu-

---

10 A full description of the use case and requirements analysis for this project can be found at http://w4ra.org/publications/voices/
tion during cycles of design, testing, redesign, testing, redesign, and deployment. The end-users play an important role in the development cycles, giving feedback, to improve the design. The results of one cycle determine the content of the next development-test cycle. By employing an iterative methodology rather than deploying a single end-application at once, we ensure that we understand the local requirements, and build solutions fit for the context.

In the above sections we showed how interactions amongst different agent groups can generate novel solutions. Next we will discuss the alignment of operational goals.

### 3.1.2 Agents and their internal models in ICT4D

As discussed in the previous sections, complex adaptive systems are composed of agents – ants, molecules, living organisms, cells, humans, organizations, computers, or a mixture of them, depending on the type of system –, interacting in distributed ways, linking and organizing themselves according to certain rules or internal models [32, 36]. These rules can be simply interpreted as what the agents do, or what they want or under which conditions they interact. In the case of humans, an internal model is driven or influenced by many factors, including values, context, traditions, what others do etc. [39, 44].

In our pilot in West-Africa, agents are represented by the following groups: (i) innovative farmers (ii) rural communities, (iii) NGOs who support the communities in their efforts to regreen their fields, (iv) the multi-disciplinary group of ICT4D developers and researchers and (v) community radio stations.

In our model agents are grouped by operational goals. The rules or internal models are reflected in operational goals, preferences, or intrinsic motivation to communicate and participate in the action. The operational goal of farmers can be to sell more local products such as shea butter or honey, or to increase the number of trees on their fields. The NGO can have as a goal to support the regreening activities, by organizing group meetings with farmers. The ICT4D team can have as an operational goal to develop an information service and an adaptive methodology to support the NGO and the farmers. The local radio station may have as a goal to increase the number of informative programs, while earning from paid broadcasts.

**Operational goals** yield information for the design of adaptive, innovative technologies, as shown in the next paragraphs. In Figure 5 a field note is shown, presenting different agent groups in the pilot, with their roles and operational goals. In Figure 6 a simple conceptual model is shown, with the agents, their communication channels, roles and values.

### Operational goals in a shea butter and honey trading service

An important aspect of regreening, according to NGO Sahel Eco, is the development of new local value chains, through which the farmers start to sell tree products. By increasing sales of typical tree products such as shea butter and honey, a larger number of farmers will start to see the benefits of growing trees as crop producing plants, according to Sahel Eco, who tries to promote regreening amongst local communities. Setting up a new value chain is difficult, given the lack of a communication infrastructure, and the lack commercial channels. We were asked to support the value-chain creating activities of Sahel Eco, as they explained us the following user story:

An agricultural extension worker (from the local NGO Sahel Eco) collects weekly information about offerings of shea butter and honey from small producers in 19 villages near Tominian. He receives the following information: the product name, the quantity offered, the price per unity, and the farmer’s phone number. He collects the data in an Excel sheet on his laptop. The Excel file is sent by email from to three community radio stations: Radio ORTM Ségou, Radio Koutiala, Radio ORTM Mopti). A hard copy of the information is brought by motorcycle to Radio Mountian in Tominian, because they do not have an internet connection. The radios broadcast the information, including the phone numbers of the producers. Potential customers either phone the radio station or the producer directly.

The key idea for a service based on this use case is a system that collects and stores the offerings in a data base, having a voice-based interface to access the offerings as a spoken message in various local languages. The offerings can be broadcast on the radio. This system will make the work of the extension worker and the radio station easier and more efficient. Meanwhile, the NGO can monitor the sales process by accessing the log files of the offerings in the system, and
Operational goals

- Fund pilot project
- Buy at best price
- Sell honey and shea butter
- Process info from producers
- Broadcast offerings

The NGO who has set up the paper-based system pilot, to
build as an automation of a semi-paperbased legacy system
and was perfectly aligned with the operational goals of the
farmers to advertise their products on the radio, and accord-
ing to the radio stations, who are paid for the broadcasts.

Agents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agents</th>
<th>Operational goals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Producers from Tominian</td>
<td>Sell honey and shea butter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential buyers</td>
<td>Buy at best price</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radio stations</td>
<td>Broadcast offerings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sahel Eco</td>
<td>Process info from producers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donor agency</td>
<td>Fund pilot project</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By grouping agents based on operational goals, it is possi-
ble to design solutions that may be reused by people with
similar goals. Operational goals are related to sustainabil-
ity. This was discussed with the stakeholders during the
workshops. The following paragraph describes a discussion
about sustainability of ICT4D systems, during a Kuma Blon
workshop in Mali, in January 2011.

Sustainability discussed with end-users. The sustainabil-
ity of services and possible business models is discussed with
prospective end-users. The participants in the workshop –
farmers, a herder, NGO-staff members – express their ideas
about business cases, necessary to make people pay for the
voice services. The participants reaffirm that most people
will be prepared to pay for a (mobile) service if it saves them
e.g. travel time, or provides them with information about
market prices. People would like to exchange information
without having to travel around or having to make multi-
ple phone calls. The participants propose that payments
should be integrated in the billing system of the phone (mo-
bile money was not yet available in Mali in 2011 at the time
of this workshop).

Operational goals, as we will see in the next sections, are
the drivers of action in a CAS, the aggregation of which can
lead to emerging patterns. Operational goals relate to another
property of complex adaptive systems, which is selection.

3.1.3 Selection

Natural selection is a biological process in the evolution of
species. The concept was first introduced by Charles Darwin
[74] in 1859 to explain the existence of adapted organisms
and biological traits which we perceive in nature. Selection
is an essential feature of all complex adaptive systems, as an
autonomous process that uses the outcomes of local interac-
tions to select for replication or enhancement [34]. Selection
is one of the fundamental characteristics of a complex adap-
tive system, because it leads to adaptation. Aggregation and
amplification of effects through positive feedback loops can
result in emergence of certain large-scale patterns [33]. In
social systems selection can be seen as the learning capacity
of a group or organization [37]. Selection is important to
discard errors or anticipate to failure, improving the system
[32]. Selection is defined by Murray Gell-Mann [36] as a dy-
namic process of continuously updating information which
is stored and kept in basic rules, customs, myths, laws, in-
itutions, depending on the type of complex system.

To build meaningful technologies in a development context,
selection is an essential mechanism. Selection takes place at
various scales and at different points in time: during design
and development, when only a limited number of people is
involved; during implementation and deployment, as larger
numbers are involved. Finally, during diffusion and scaling-
up, selection by its users defines the success of an innovation.

Selection mechanisms are used at the start of the design
process, shortly after the collective brainstorming, in which
many new ideas are generated in the space of dialogue, dur-
ing the road show or field visit. Selection in this stage is
necessary to reduce the number of ideas, and discard the
less interesting or useful. In our case of ICT4D in West-
Africa, selection mechanisms are applied, (i) as an iterative
learning capacity of the group, and (ii) for the elicitation of
requirements and tacit knowledge and selection of the most
suitable use cases. Design choices, hence selection, are based
on jointly defined criteria, as from the initial phase.

Who selects what?. In our ICT4D approach, the problem
definition comes from the local users, however, selection of
the ideas and use cases to be developed into a new ICT
solution, is a joint decision. Therefore the prospective end-
users, other stakeholders and the developers team have a say
in the selection, and in setting the priorities. The end-users
select what is useful and meaningful in their work and con-
text. The ICT developers select what is affordable, in terms
of time to develop, expenses, complexity of design and use,
adaptability in the local context, transferability to different
contexts, promising in terms of diffusion of innovation.

Mutual analysis of contexts. At the start, the ICT4D re-
searchers and developers had to learn about the local con-
text, and its constraints. The unavailability of internet con-
nections, the modesty of financial resources, the wide-spread
availability of voice-based mobile phone, and the inability of
farmers to read and write and speak French, represented constraints for technological solutions. This resulted in selection of a certain type of technologies, infrastructure (radio and GSM-based) and modality (voice). Selection could be seen as the first collective learning process of the team. This learning and selection took place during workshops and field visits in Burkina Faso in September 2009 and February 2010.

Conversely, the farmers, local radio journalists and local NGO staff learned about new context as well. Through demonstrations and talks, they learnt about the possibilities of using, e.g. voice-based systems that combine mobile phone and community radio. This exchange of knowledge resulted in a merger of ideas, aimed at creation of new, meaningful solutions.

Selection of long list of use cases. The next step is to jointly select interesting cases that could be made efficient through improved communication or information sharing or access. This done through brainstorming extensively with all team members. In our case, creatively generating ideas was not difficult, because of the heterogeneous composition of the team. The road shows and field visits, as described in the previous sections, resulted in many creative ideas, from which a long list of sixteen use cases could be selected, as shown in Table 1.

Selection of best use cases. From list of use cases, a selection has to be made, which one to develop first, next, postpone or discard. A priority list was made, based on criteria selected by developers and users: (i) usefulness by e.g. increased efficiency of a certain task or improved communication, (ii) adaptability to the local context, (iii) feasibility in terms of cost of use, (iv) complexity of use, (v) generalizability and transferability of the solution to other regions, (vi) the ease and cost of technological development and maintenance, (vii) the potential to make local business out of the service. Usefulness for the user against ease of building it technically are the most important criteria. In Figure 7 three use cases are compared, based on requirements, using the MoSCoW method to prioritize (Must have, Should have, Could have, Won’t have) [73].

Figure 8, modified after Hartman et al. [75] plots user need against ease of development per use case. From this figure it becomes clear which use cases rank high in ease and utility. In a commercial setting, where an ICT system is commissioned by a customer, i.e. paying organization, the diagram gives is sufficient information to make a good selection. In an innovation project, however, as this ICT4D context other criteria are important as well. It is important to choose a system that can be reused in similar contexts. Criteria such as adaptability, generalizability and local technical support on the longer run have to be taken into account as well.

Out of sixteen use cases we selected a legacy, paper-based system based on priorities from local stakeholders, and feasibility and ease of technical development.

A voice-based market information system was built accordingly, nicknamed RadioMarché. The system was deployed, validated and tested by local users, and taken in production as a pilot, in 2012 in the region of Tominian, to support sales of local tree-based products for farmers in 19 small villages [76]. The increase of efficiency for the users (Sahel Eco and Radio Stations), and the relative advantage for the beneficiaries of the system (the farmers near Tominian), as well as relative ease of technical development, compared to other systems, supported the decision.

The second best use case was the event organizer, as described in section 2.2, where one can use a web interface to issue fifty simultaneous voice-based phone messages in different languages. The system, built accordingly, was nicknamed Tabalé, after the Bambara word for the village drum, that is beaten to gather villagers for an important meeting.

Selection of key requirements for the Tabalé use case. For the user story described in the previous paragraph, the following key requirements were selected. To make sure that the users and developers have a shared understanding of the requirements, they are discussed using mock-ups, story boards and prototypes as illustrative communication tools. The requirements elicitation process has to prevent building useless artefacts. The following requirements were collected:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Functional requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Web interface for Sahel Eco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>add, update, delete users and user profiles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>user profiles include name, phone, language</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>system allows to record voice as audio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>system allows to create events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>event has date, time, associated audio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiple languages are allowed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>message can be sent to multiple users</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prompt in multiple languages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French, Bonu, Bambara support</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-functional requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>prompt should speak malian french dialect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>voice prompt should sound familiar</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.1.4 Adaptation
The ability to adapt to changing conditions in the environment, makes a system robust and resilient on the longer run. In our research the adaptivity of ICT solutions proved important for its immediate deployment, and for scaling up and diffusion to other regions and users with comparable conditions.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nr.</th>
<th>Use case title</th>
<th>Main stakeholders</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>m-Milk ordering and delivery service of Tominian Milk producers and NGO</td>
<td>Milk producers and NGO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>m-Tree protection alert service Sahel Eco</td>
<td>Farmers and NGO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>mobile-web Event organizer for vaccination of herds</td>
<td>Farmers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>m-Farmer-expert directory service</td>
<td>Farmer organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>NGO info-line about legal issues in several languages</td>
<td>Sahel Eco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Leave announcement or select your favourite song</td>
<td>Radio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Shea butter and honey trading service</td>
<td>Radio and Sahel Eco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Access radio programs and announcements on your phone</td>
<td>Radio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Gourcy seed producers seed certification service</td>
<td>Farmer organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Radio questions and answers about agricultural issues</td>
<td>Radio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>m-collective purchase organizing service</td>
<td>Local buyers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>m-GIS regreening service</td>
<td>Sahel Eco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>m-Farmer social network</td>
<td>Sahel Eco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>mobile-web regional market system</td>
<td>Farmer organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Sahel Eco portal to Regreening and access to m-services</td>
<td>Sahel Eco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>m-event organizer for re-greening events</td>
<td>Sahel Eco, farmers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Long list of use cases Source: [71]

Figure 8: Adapted from Hartman et al. 2000

In our ICT4D project, adaptivity was built in the design from the start. Adaptation and reinvention can occur at three stages: (i) at the level of the end-user, who reinvents new, alternative, innovative ways to use a technological artefact, which was not intended in its design. (ii) at the level of ICT developers it is possible – and encouraged – to re-use the software, which is made available as open-source, and invent, redesign and deploy it in other contexts for other users and purposes. (iii) at the level of organizations, researchers and practitioners, the methodologies presented in this paper, can be used and adapted for design of user-centric services, adaptive for any other (development) context.

The example in the next section shows how solutions can be re-used after adaptation to other contexts.

Adaptation of market information system. The voice-based market information system RadioMarché was fully adaptive to the local needs and context, distributing voice-based information on the local products: shea nuts, shea butter, honey, and local nuts. At the moment of writing this paper, farmers in Burkina Faso have requested an adapted version of RadioMarché for the context in the Yatenga region, in the Central Plateau. The language here is different: Moré, and the products are also different. The RadioMarché system was built in a modular way, as to be adapted, reused and maybe even reinvented for a different context. The software is made available as Open Source and can be re-used and adapted. The name of the adapted RadioMarché system in Burkina Faso is Raas Kibaya, which means market information in Moré language.

Reinvention. Reinvention is often observed during diffusion of innovations [44], as adaptation by its users. An example of reinvention by local users of the systems built during our research project is described below.

The case of messaging system Tabale reinvented. Amadou Tangara, staff member of NGO Sahel Eco, was one of the key-users of the systems built here for this local context. Tangara helped to define the use cases and select the requirements, and gave regular feedback on usefulness. One of Tangara’s suggestions was to merge the functionalities of both systems. As the development was not further prioritised as soon as Tangara wished, he started to use Tabale to send group voice-based phone messages to honey producers to aggregate group sales on behalf of the farmers. The Tabale system was not originally built with this purpose, but it appeared to be flexible and generic to be used for other purposes.

3.1.5 Emergence

Aggregation of interactions in a CAS may lead to emerging patterns, which are not discernible at the levels of individual agents. Feedback loops are the internal processes that can amplify or annihilate certain effects in a CAS. Feedback loops can occur e.g. when operational goals of many different agents become aligned and start to amplify each other. In our ICT4D project we have perceived certain feedback loops, despite the scale in which we operated. Improved communication amongst farmers, NGO-staff, local radio journalists and ICT4D researchers led to improved communication. This in turn increased communication amongst a wider ru-
ral community of farmers, who gained access to radio broadcasts. The successes of the interactions in Mali were picked up by other communities in Burkina Faso, who wanted to apply the approach in their own setting. The ICT4D approach, which was braided into local self-organized actions of regreening and sales of agro-forestry value chains, could provide feedback loops of improved communication, while piggybacking on the regreening successes for its own dissemination.

With more than 85% of the rural population living on less than 2 US dollar per day, mainly from subsistence agriculture, societies and ecosystems in West-Africa’s drylands are very vulnerable to climatic shocks and economic uncertainty. In the late 20th century, periods of low rainfall had devastating consequences in the Sahel, causing declining cereal yields, widespread erosion, massive livestock loss, and serious food shortages. Despite the circumstances, a drastic reverse occurred in various areas of Niger, Burkina Faso and Mali, since innovative actions of small farmers, spearheaded by a few farmers-innovators, and diffused to neighboring communities, unclenched a reforestation trend, based on reinvention of simple, inexpensive techniques for soil restoration and water conservation. The successes were picked up by local NGOs who helped spread the innovations to other regions; governmental organs supported the actions, by issuing tree-protecting policies. All the actions together led to a progressive agro-environmental transformation. An area of more than 5.200.000 hectares of degraded lands, in distributed regions of Niger, Burkina Faso and Mali were restored in 25 years. The results of this agro-environmental transformation were sustainable, environmental-friendly agro-forestry systems, that could feed the fast growing population. The spread of locally invented solutions led to improved rural livelihoods. The increased production from the land enabled farmers to produce for local markets, leading to the emergence of new agro-forestry value-chains.

ICT4D, when aligned with locally defined operational goals and adapted to local context, becomes a new channel for communication, speeding up local diffusion of innovations. In the case of the Sahel, diffusion of the regreening innovations was originally very slow, in the absence of efficient channels. ICT4D can have amplifying effects, speeding up sales of agro-forestry products. New ICT4D solutions could also spread further, from Mali to Burkina Faso, along with the successes of improved agro-forestry value-chains.

3.1.6 Example of emerging agro-forestry value-chains

As a non expected effect of the RadioMarché pilot, honey sales increased in the Tominian region in Mali. In October and November 2012 user evaluation studies were performed for the voice-based market system RadioMarché, which had been operational since early 2012. NGO Sahel Eco made a tour through Mali in October 2012 and visited live radio stations and collected feedback on RadioMarché through written questionnaires and talked to buyers, who had been triggered by the communic announcements and radio broadcasts through this system. In November 2012 the research team made another tour in Mali, and held face-to-face interviews, demonstrations, production tests and focus group discussions related to the system. The radio stations were interviewed about the RadioMarché system. Additionally, a number of farmers was asked their opinion on the usefulness of the RadioMarché system to improve communication and trade.

Effects of the RadioMarché system on local trade, as reported by end-users, was that the radio broadcasts of RadioMarché communiqués create a much larger demand for honey than what producers currently can offer. This same feedback was provided by radio Mopti, Koutiala and Tominian in Mali. The radios ask to stop the broadcasts of communiqués about honey unless a larger stock is readily available. They also suggest to create sales points for honey in the villages of Ségou, Tominian etc. to take the burden off the radio stations who are called by buyers interested in buying honey. Sometimes the buyers want 100 litres of honey, but this cannot be delivered at once. The transport of the honey is also an issue, showing that the value chain behind the system is not yet organized.

The demand for other products due to the RadioMarché system, e.g. nere seeds is also increased. However, the demand for high quality shea butter does still not exceed the RadioMarché offerings by the producers. The service has therefore provided new business ideas for the local stakeholders: setting up a selling point and organizing producers in a better way to maximize profits and to help make the system sustainable.

The above report is from 2012. Sinds that time, the NGO and the farmers have set up a sales point for honey in Tominian, and have started to organize group sales. They are currently trying to improve the local value chain for shea butter, by linking transporters and packers to the producers. Farmers in the Yatenga region in Burkina Faso have shown interest in applying the RadioMarché system, adapted to their own language and local products. These effects can be seen as a consequence of the RadioMarché pilot, as they occur as a distributed process, propagating through the social networks. The effects are the result of adaptive management and selection, but not of central control. The ICT4D action has been the trigger, but its effects would not have been predictable at the start of the pilot. An improvement of the agro-forestry value chains, in the benefit of regreening and food resilience in rural areas in Mali is one of the emerging innovations.

4. DISCUSSION

As shown in the previous chapters, framing ICT4D as complex adaptive system asks for a different approach than traditionally applied in ICT4D practice and supported by ICT4D research. What does this imply for problem definition, methodologies and scaling up strategies?

4.1 Problem definition

In his paper *ICT4D 2.0: The next Phase of Applying ICT for International Development*, Richard Heeks [7] proposes a new view of the world’s poor. Traditionally, the beneficiaries
of ICT4D are depicted as poor and marginalized people [2] [77] or as a market segment, i.e. those at the bottom of the pyramid [56]. In neither case the beneficiaries or of ICT4D are actively involved in the formulation of the objectives of ICT4D action, which are traditionally predefined by the donor or the international community.

In contrast, the case of regreening [63] is an example of a complex adaptive system where heterogeneous groups of actors (or agents): farmers, herders, NGOs, governmental institutions interact in distributed ways, as self-organized groups, striving to solve a common problem. As seen from the communities in Niger, [63] and from our own research in a similar setting in Mali and Burkina Faso, farmers and their communities are the best in describing and defining their priorities. In the previous sections we have shown how ICT4D action can support local self-organization, by starting from the objectives and priorities defined by the beneficiaries, and form their real-live problems. This leads to a more inclusive and discursive, starting from locally formulated priorities involving end-users from the start.

4.2 Methodologies
ICT4D research, as part of social sciences and development studies, is evaluative and less aimed at construction of innovative solutions and practical deployment in a real live environment. A real-world research approach provides an alternative to the traditional input-process-output methods, often used to implement ICTs in development contexts — usually mainstream western technologies — as dictated by international donor agencies and large philanthropist organizations.

In contrast adaptive, iterative methodologies, also referred to as Agile methods based on a space of dialogue, allows local requirements to be elicited and new knowledge to be created. The construction of meaningful technologies requires an open-ended approach, not based on pre-defined solutions, as to be to fit the user’s needs, and changing requirements and challenging context. The socio-technical aspects of technologies are central to this approach. The proposed use case and requirements analysis and selection mechanisms reflect the mutual choice for the selected and prioritized technologies.

As to evaluation, linear, result-based methods and prescribed blueprints are less suitable for evaluation of emerging effects from development actions. Technological innovation as an open-ended process, asks for participatory, multi-level assessment of results and outcomes, measured against self-organized and self-defined priorities and objectives of the final beneficiaries and end-users.

4.3 Scaling innovations
In contrast to the traditional strategies of large-scale transfers and hasty implementations of standardized technological solutions, we propose a lightweight, constructive, adaptive and more equitable approach to ICT4D action. According to Tuomi [68] innovation should be defined as something that generates and facilitates change in social practice, placing the user in the centre of the innovation process. In a very fundamental sense, it is the user who invents the product, as Tuomi argues that innovation is not generated by scientists or engineers only. Therefore, to understand innovation, we need to understand technologies in use. The concept of meaning relates to cultural values, social systems and structures, and to knowledge sharing, and is therefore central to adoption and diffusion of innovation. Under the (political) pressure to generate quick results, policy makers and practitioners do not take the time to start small and look for existing, self-organized systems that can piggy-back the technological innovations, allowing the system to select and diffuse the most successful solutions, for sustainable development.

5. DISCUSSION
In this research project we investigated how to develop new ICTs that really work and can be adopted by rural communities in their regreening endeavour, we developed a theoretical framework to understand technological innovation in a development context, and we present an actionable approach to improve ICT4D action.

In his ICT4D Manifesto, [7] Richard Heeks introduces an approach which he coins ICT4D 2.0, as a new phase in development. The label ICT4D 1.0 is given by Heeks to the period roughly from the mid-late 1990s to the mid-late 2000s, of tele-centre projects, in which (text-based) information was provided via the internet to poor communities. Heeks summarizes this period of ICT4D 1.0 action as an almost complete failure, and proposes to concentrate on existing technologies and business as he states: As we stand on the threshold of ICT4D 2.0, the key technical question to be answered currently appears to be: How will we deliver the Internet to the remaining five billion?; more emphasis on application and business model innovation, and less emphasis on piloting and sustaining new applications, and more emphasis on assessing and scaling existing applications [78]. The issues that remain unanswered in the ICT4D 2.0 approach are (i) the notion of true innovation, in which technology develops as an open-ended, distributed process, (ii) the notion of support to self-organization of local communities, who are the envisaged beneficiaries, and (iii) the notion of true participation, in which the end-users participate in the process of defining the problem, developing and selecting the best solution, and reinventing and disseminating the innovation through their social system.

In this paper we propose complexity theory as a conceptualization and framework for ICTs for development. This theory provides a coherent framework to understand ICT4D as a not-centrally controlled, open-ended innovation process. It also offers a new methodology for an adaptive, systemic approach in ICT4D action, and it provides an answer to the call for more contextualization of efforts and true participation from the final beneficiaries. We labelled this approach ICT4D 3.0.

As noted above, the conceptualization of ICT4D as an evolutionary process, developing differently from mainstream western technologies, as an emerging innovation at the service of people in developing contexts, is still missing in the ICT4D debate. This paper contributes to ICT4D research and action introducing to two different aspects:
Firstly, complex adaptive systems provide a theoretical framework to understand ICT4D, and to study the dynamics of adoption of new technologies within social networks and their mechanisms of diffusion.

Secondly, the approach, which we label ICT4D 3.0, provides an actionable and practical framework for a more equitable ICT4D, in which the development objectives and the problem definition are not externally controlled and defined, at international level, but by the people and communities concerned.

The main characteristics of ICT4D 3.0 can be described as follows:

- Inclusive - the complex adaptive system’s framework is not biased toward the common, western frames of poverty, reducing communities to market segments like the bottom of the pyramid, but acknowledging self-organization and involving the beneficiaries in the problem definition.

- Adaptive - The system adapts to changing environments and interacts through its heterogeneous groups of agents in the social system, and its local context. It does not follow a pre-defined path but uses positive feedback loops, in search for (sub-) optimal conditions.

- Discursive - The complex adaptive system theory provides the framework for further action and research providing an overarching theory for ICT4D.

- Supportive of Self-organized action - ICT4D 3.0 takes its starting point within the complexity of the real world, and making the action be rooted in existing endeavours, supporting self-organized actions of the final beneficiaries and end-users.
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